IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.2875 OF 2005

Chanchal Choudhary ...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

.....

Mr.Subhash Jha i/b M/s.Law Global for Petitioner.

Mr.V.B.Konde-Deshmukh, A.P.P. for Respondent No.1.

.....

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.

DECEMBER 1, 2005.

P.C.

1. As alternative efficacious remedy is available to the Petitioner by way of revision in view of the decision of this Court in the case of **V.K.Jain & Ors. vs. Pratap V.Padode reported in 2005(3) Mh.L.J. 778**, no interference is warranted.

2. This Petition is disposed of with liberty to take recourse to remedy of revision, if so advised. All questions are left open.

3. The Petitioner to file such Revision Application within four weeks from today. Till such time, no precipitative action be taken against the Petitioner. Revision Application, if filed within four weeks from today, be considered on its own merits in accordance with law.

4. In case, there is any delay in filing Revision Application, Court will take into account the fact that the Petitioner had first approached this Court, which period may be excused in computing delay.

5. Insofar as relief in terms of prayer clause (b) is concerned, it will be open to the Petitioner to take recourse to such remedy as may be available. That question is left open.

6. Petition disposed of on the above terms.

A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.